CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Environmental Compliance

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☒ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 0090042 **DATE:** 01/19/2011 **ARRIVE:** 12:15 **DEPART:** 12:45

FACILITY NAME: COCOA BEACH RMC

FACILITY LOCATION: 445 CIDCO RD

COCOA BEACH 32926-5829

OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER **PHONE:** (954)425-4227
Email: **Mobile:** (561)504-6787
CONTACT NAME: ABIGAIL DIAZ **PHONE:** (954)425-4199
Email: **Mobile:** (561)252-0057
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/31/2010 (effective date) / 5/31/2015 (end date)

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)

☒ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): _____

   Brief Notes: _____

2. Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY LANCASTER? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   If no, who is?: _____

   If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Is the facility contact still ABIGAIL DIAZ? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   If no, who is?: _____

4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
   If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section

1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse, shaker cleaning subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

1. Date of last inspection: 12/15/2004
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
   b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------- ☒ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No
   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards

1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:

   a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
      1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No

   b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:
   a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No
   b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No
   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

Emissions Unit Section

2 –CCB Plant-silo(slag/flyash)w/silotop b-house&jet-pulse clean subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

1. Date of last inspection: 12/15/2004
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
   b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------- ☒ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No
   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards

1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:

   a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
      1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No

   b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:
   a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No
   b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No
   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

Emissions Unit Section

3 –CCB Plant-weighhopper/mixer/loadoutspoutw/cent.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

1. Date of last inspection: 12/15/2004
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No
   b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------- ☐ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No
   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards

1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:

   a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
      1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
      4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No

   b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:
   a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No
   b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No
   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? _____

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY

1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:
   a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Does this facility include:
   a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
      If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? _____

   b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
      If YES, what other general permit units or activities? _____

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:
   a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No

$$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275{,}000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23{,}000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \leq 1.00?$$

4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Does the owner or operator:
   a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
   b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

1. Is the facility: stationary ☒; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (***If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)***

2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No

(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)

a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? --------- ☐ Yes ☒ No

b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? ---- ☐ Yes ☐ No

c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? --- ☐ Yes ☐ No

3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:

a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If YES, what was the purpose?

b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No

CHANGES

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

Administrative Changes:

1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? ---- ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ---------- ☐ Yes ☐ No

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:

3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been
   a. Installation of any new process equipment? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
   b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
   c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No
   d. A change in ownership? ---------- ☐ Yes ☒ No

4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ---------- ☒ Yes ☐ No

John Vigliotti	01/19/2011
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
John Vigliotti Digitally signed by John Vigliotti DN: cn=John Vigliotti, o=Department of Environmental Protection, ou=Air Resources Management, email=John.Vigliotti@DEP.state.fl.us, c=US Date: 2011.02.15 09:22:13 -05'00'	01/19/2012
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Conversation with Plant Supervisor, Mr. Bob Decker reveals that the company, Tarmac performs its own daily emission checks. A VE test was conducted on 05/17/2010 and another is scheduled to be done soon. The exact date has been changed and we will be receiving a copy of the results.